1 because --

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

1.7

18

19

20

- A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. Now, are you familiar with the order, the proposed sale order?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. And is it true that the proposed sale order requires carriers to continue providing services to the purchaser through the cutoff date?
  - A. Correct.
  - Q. And we don't know again how long that could be? It could be -- it could be 35 days, it could be six years, it could never happen if the notices don't go out; correct?
  - A. That's correct. Although there is an obligation on the part of the buyer to decide whether they're going to assume or assign -- assume or reject the contract, I believe, within 120 days.
  - Q. I didn't ask you that. I'm asking you about just sending out the notice.
- So we don't know how long the cutoff date is going to be. We don't know what the burn rate is going to be.

And you don't know how this

purchaser is going to be capitalized; correct? 1 I know that as of the closing date, the 2 purchaser will be capitalized with \$30 million. 3 At least thirty, they may put in more. 4 I don't know how they're going to be capitalized in the future. I can't tell you what 6 the burn rate will be six months from now. I 7 can't tell you. 8 I don't know their specific plans 9 10 with respect to the assets. MR. GWYNNE: Okay. I have no 11 12 further questions, Your Honor. THE COURT: Anyone else wish to 13 examine the witness in opposition? 14 All right. Mr. Shapiro. 15 16 MR. SHAPIRO: Your Honor, I just have a few questions for the witness. 17 BY MR. SHAPIRO: 18 19 Mr. Feuerabendt, are you familiar with 20 the management agreement that was negotiated with 21 IDT last night and this morning? 22 Α. Yes. 23 Generally --Ο. 24 MR. SHAPIRO: Your Honor, may I

```
approach the witness and give him a copy?
1
                               Yes, you may.;
 2
                  THE COURT:
   BY MR. SHAPIRO:
3
             Mr. Feuerabendt, would you read
 5
    Section 3.1 for me, please?
 6
        Α.
             Sure. Ongoing operations, Clause A.
 7
                  The buyer hereby agrees to pay in
    advance on a weekly basis all newly accruing
 8
    actual costs and expenses of its ongoing
 9
    operations of the business during the period
10
11
    commencing on the closing date and ending as
    applicable to the specific customers on the date
12
13
    on which the FCC grants authority to buyer to
    discontinue service to the customers of the
14
    sellers (the last day of such period being the
15
    "cutoff date"). Notwithstanding the foregoing,
16
    the buyer shall have no rights with regard to the
17
18
    Chapter 11 case or no rights to participate
19
    therein except as set forth in the asset purchase
20
    agreement.
                  Should I continue?
21
             Thank you. You can stop right there for
22
        Q.
23
    a second.
24
                  MS. SILVERSTEIN:
                                      Does counsel have
```

```
a copy of that management agreement to share with
1
2
    this table because that is not the management
    agreement that has been handed out prior to this
 3
   hearing?
                                 Well, as I indicated
                  MR. SHAPIRO:
 5
 6
    earlier, the FCC and the buyer negotiated some
 7
    language literally two minutes before we started
    the hearing. I may have another copy without that
 8
    language, I'm not sure.
 9
10
                  This was the only copy that was
    given to me before I approached the podium.
                                                 But
11
    I'm happy to share with you right now.
12
    BY MR. SHAPIRO:
13
             Can you read it again?
14
        Q.
                  Mr. Feuerabendt, would you like to
15
    read that slowly for everyone?
16
17
             I would. Section 3.1, Ongoing
        Α.
    Operations, Clause A.
18
19
                  The buyer hereby agrees to pay in
20
    advance on a weekly basis all newly accruing
21
    actual costs and expenses of the ongoing
22
    operations of the business during the period
23
    commencing on the closing date and ending as
24
    applicable to specific customers on the date on
```

5.5

which the FCC grants authority to buyer to
discontinue service to the customers of the
sellers (the last day of such period being cutoff
date). Notwithstanding the foregoing, the buyer
shall have no rights with respect to the
Chapter 11 cases or any right to participate
therein except as set forth in the asset purchase

- 9 Q. Thank you. In your view, does that
  10 obligate the buyer to prepay expenses from and
  11 after the closing date that accrue after the
  12 closing date?
- 13 | A. It -- it certainly does.
- Q. In your view, does that require the buyer to pay for, for example, carriers including people like Verizon and Williams for the cost and expenses accruing after the closing date so long as such services are being provided?
  - A. Yes.

agreement.

8

- Q. And does that require effectively the service providers taking credit risks, or is there a prepayment involved there?
- A. If they're prepaying, I don't think
  there's any credit risk.

Q. All right. Can you read Paragraph B of that section, please?

A. Sure. Clause B.

The Buyer hereby agrees in furtherance in its obligations to ensure that not later than the closing date it will fund an account at Citibank, N.A. (from which during the term funds shall not be withdrawn except to pay amount required to be paid as under Subsection A above) with then the defining the account with at least 30 million in cash.

In the event that the Buyer shall fail to pay any such amounts require, I think that should say required, to be paid by the buyer pursuant to this agreement and the seller shall be held liable for such amounts. The Buyer hereby agrees to indemnify seller for any such costs.

In the event that any funds shall be on deposit in the account after the Cutoff Date, and all accrued and unpaid costs required to be paid in Subsection A above shall have been paid. Any balance shall, upon five days written notice to the Debtors and the Agent for the Postpetition Lenders, be withdrawn by the Buyer.

1 Q. Thank you.

Is it your view that that \$30

million that's being put -- that's currently in an

escrow in Wilmington, that which will be

transferred to Citibank upon closing will be used

solely to fund the expenses that you previously

described?

- A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Is there any reason to believe that that
  10 money won't be used for that purpose that you know
  11 of?
- 12 A. No.

- Q. What is your understanding of where that money came from?
- A. My understanding is that the \$30 million was -- came from IDT Corporation.
- Q. And how is that being injected and fused into the acquisition vehicle?
- 19 A. As equity. As preferred equity.
- Q. So it's not your understanding that
  there's any debt component there, this is pure
  equity as far as you know?
- A. No, no debt consistent with -- acquirers.
- Q. And you previously indicated that you've

5.8

had some dealings with IDT and people who
negotiated this transaction; correct?

A. I did.

3

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

- And you previously indicated that it was 4 your view that in light of the fact that they were 5 6 putting \$30 million up in equity to this newly formed vehicle, which would immediately go out to 7 start paying expenses, and there paying \$38 8 9 million as a purchase price, which would be non-refundable, what was your view of their 10 business acclimate if they did not continue to pay 11 12 costs on an ongoing basis consistent with that 13 contract?
  - A. That they would lose a lot of money and I think I said didn't think they were the kind of people that like to lose a lot of money or would lose a lot of money. This is a lot of money to put up and have it be irrevocable.
    - Q. A couple of other short questions.

Is it your understanding that
Verizon is a competitor of Winstar?

- verizon is a compection of winst
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Is it your belief that Verizon would prefer to see Winstar sold or liquidated?

```
I'm going to object to
1
                  MR. LADDIN:
2
    that, Your Honor. The witness has no personal
   knowledge.
3
                  THE COURT:
                               Overruled.
                                            You can
4
5
   answer that.
                  MR. LADDIN: Verizon doesn't even
 6
7
   know what service.
                  THE WITNESS: I don't know the
8
    answer to that question
9
10
    BY MR. SHAPIRO:
             Okay. What would happen, Mr. Feuerabendt
11
        Q.
    if this sale was not consummated tomorrow?
12
13
        Α.
             I believe the state would have to convert
    to a seven and customers would have to be
14
15
    terminated immediately.
             So is it your belief that if we
16
    consummate this transaction that people who are
17
18
    continuing to provide service to Winstar will do
    better or do worse through this transaction?
19
20
             If they are paid -- prepaid going
21
    forward, I think they're going to be generating
    business that they otherwise would have not.
22
    They're existing exposure to the company would be
23
24
    the same regardless of whether this happened or
```

not. But going forward, they wouldn't have the 2 business that they could generate by staying with 3 the buyer. MR. SHAPIRO: Thank you. 5 further questions, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. 6 7 BY MR. TURNER: Mr. Feuerabendt, I understood that --8 Ο. it's Andrew Turner, Williams Communications. 9 I understood that the buyer is going 10 to pay the cost of operation from the time of 11 closing forward; correct? 12 13 Α. Correct. 14 Okay. Under the contracts between the Q.

Q. Okay. Under the contracts between the parties, I'll ask you to assume, are you aware whether there are disconnection charges that would be charged to Winstar or Winstar's assignee, the buyer, if they disconnected a particular customer?

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- A. I actually don't know the answer to that question.
- Q. Assume for me then, if you will, that under the contract with Williams that if Winstar sends us a notice to disconnect Customer A, that Winstar incurs a termination charge. Okay?

- 1 A. Okay.
- Q. So assume for me, too, that the closing
- 3 | happens and the buyer decides to terminate
- 4 Customer A. Will the buyer pay Williams that
- 5 | termination charge?
- 6 A. Under this contract?
- 7 Q. Yes, sir.
- 8 A. I don't know the answer to that question.
- 9 Q. Okay.
- 10 A. I believe they will be obligated to do
- 11 | that if they have not rejected the Williams
- 12 agreement. But I don't know the answer to that
- 13 | question.
- 14 Q. Well, I note in Paragraph 23 of the
- 15 proposed sale order and Subparagraph D at the very
- 16 end of it, the following language occurs: Each
- 17 | service provider shall, upon written notice from
- 18 the Debtors and the buyer, immediately and without
- 19 charge or further liability of any kind
- 20 discontinue and disconnect any such services
- 21 provided to the Debtors and/or the buyer.
- 22 So is that changing the contract
- 23 | that Winstar has with Williams with regard to
- 24 termination charges?

```
1
             I don't know what the current agreement
        Α.
2
    is with Williams with respect to termination
3
    charges.
             Assume for me that there are termination
    charges provided for in the agreement with
 5
 6
    Williams, then does that provision change that?
             It sounds like it.
 7
        Α.
                  MR. TURNER:
 8
                                 Okay.
    BY MR. LADDIN:
 9
10
             Again, Darryl Laddin on behalf of
    Verizon. Just a couple more follow-up questions.
11
                  I just want to clarify with respect
12
13
    to Section 3.1(a) of the management agreement, is
    it your understanding that if the Debtor is
14
15
    contractually obligated to pay Verizon for
16
    whatever charges there are under the contracts
1.7
    that the Debtor and the purchaser will be
18
    responsible for all of those charges, whatever
19
    they may be under the contracts?
2.0
```

That's how I would read this, yes.

21

22

23

24

And one final question with respect to Section 3.1(d), in the \$30 million that will be put in escrow, just to clarify, if the purchaser were to continue to operate Winstar for a period

1 of four, five or six months, or longer, that 30 2 million wouldn't be enough to fund the expenses of 3 the company, would it? Again, I don't know the specific intention of the buyer with respect to the 5 I don't know what their burn rate is 6 assets. going to be starting the date after the closing. 8 So for me to say that 30 million is not enough for them to last six months, I just 9 10 don't have that knowledge. 11 MR. LADDIN: I have nothing further. 12

BY MS. SILVERSTEIN:

13

14

15

16

17

18

2.1

22

23

24

Q. Laurie Silverstein on behalf of certain affiliates of SBC. Following up on Mr. Laddin's question, he asked you if there was a charge where the Debtor was obligated to pay under the contract would it be paid.

Let me ask you a slightly different question.

If there is a charge under the contract that the Debtor has with one of the carriers is the buyer obligated to pay that charge under the agreement during the period, this

transition period? 1 MR. SHAPIRO: Your Honor, I object 3 to that question. Mr. Feuerabendt was put on as an expert with respect to the sale process not 5 with respect to whether or not how the legal interpretation of this contract works. 6 7 He's not a lawyer and I think that that question is better posed to either the buyer 8 or the lawyers for the Debtor and the Buyer. 9 THE COURT: I'll overrule the 10 objection. You can answer. 11 12 THE WITNESS: I actually don't know 13 the answer to that question. BY MS. SILVERSTEIN: 14 Let me ask you: On the contract you have 15 in front of you, are there any other handwritten 16 17 changes to that contract? I don't know if this is a change or not, 18 Α. 19 there is some handwritten note here on Section 4.5 20 after the sentence that ends with the definition 21 of transitional period. 22 Yes. Can you read that, please? Q. 23 Α. It says, Buyer agrees to comply Sure. 24 with the discontinuance of service notice

1 requirements pursuant to, I think, it's 8214 of the Communications Act. And that's all I see. 3 4 Ο. And just so I'm clear, IDT, the public 5 corporation, which has the \$1 billion in cash, as 6 represented to this Court, is not quaranteeing 7 payment obligations of the buyer of the shell company; correct? 8 9 There is no quarantee that I'm aware, Α. 10 although I believe they were going to deliver a letter today saying that they would backstop the 11 buyers indemnification of sellers obligations for 12 the cost of the ongoing business. I don't know if 13 14 that actually ended up happening or not. Okay. So to your knowledge at this 15 moment and as reflected in the agreements before 16 the court, there is no such guarantee; correct? 17 To my knowledge, sitting here today, 18 Α. 19 that's correct.

- MS. SILVERSTEIN: Thank you.
- THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
- 22 You may step down.
- THE WITNESS: Thank you.
- 24 THE COURT: Do you have any other

```
witness, Mr. Shapiro?
                  MR. SHAPIRO: No, Your Honor.
2
                                                   The
3
   Debtor has no other witness.
                  THE COURT: Does any objector wish
 4
 5
   to call any witness?
                  MS. SILVERSTEIN: Your Honor, could
 6
   we have a moment?
                  THE COURT:
                               Yes.
 8
                  (Following a discussion held off the
 9
    record:)
10
11
                  MR. TURNER:
                                Andrew Turner,
   Williams Communications. Is the Debtor resting
12
13
    its case in support of its motion? Is it time for
14
    the objectors to call their case?
                  MR. SHAPIRO: What was that?
15
16
    sorry.
17
                  MR. TURNER:
                                The inquiry was
    whether the Debtor is resting its case in support
18
    of the motion of approval of the sale, is it then
19
20
    time for the objectors to put on our case?
21
                  We don't want to put on our objector
22
    case before we have all the evidence in support of
    the sale, Your Honor.
23
                  MR. SHAPIRO: I think the Debtors
24
```

```
have put on the Debtors' witness. I think the
2
   Buyers reserve the right to put on a witness for
3
   the Buyers.
                  I think that we should let the
5
   objectors put on their case and let the Buyer, to
6
   the extent the Buyer would like to put on any
 7
    witness that they would like to put on, Your
8
   Honor.
                  THE COURT:
                               All right. Mr. Jonas,
 9
    did you want to, or counsel, put on any witness?
10
11
                  MR. ALBALAH:
                                 Your Honor, if I
    could, I would yield to Debtors' counsel approach.
12
13
    It is the Debtors' burden.
14
                  The Debtor has put on its case.
    the objectors put on their case. If Your Honor
15
16
    would like, we would reserve our right to call
17
    witnesses, to the extent it's appropriate, for the
18
    Buyer to do so.
19
                  THE COURT:
                               The procedure I'd like
20
    to follow is if the Buyer has a witness, to put
21
    them on now, and then we'll see what the objector
22
    has.
23
                  MR. ALBALAH:
                                 Your Honor, with
24
    respect to --
```

```
THE COURT: You don't have to call
1
2
   him.
3
                  MR. ALBALAH: Understood.
                               You can call a witness
                  THE COURT:
4
   in rebuttal.
5
6
                  MR. JONAS:
                               I'm happy to get to the
7
   heart of the thing. Do you want me to be the
   witness or --
8
                  THE COURT:
                               You have to be the
9
   witness under oath and someone would examine this.
10
11
                  MR. ALBALAH: At this point in
12
   time --
13
                  THE COURT:
                               You better talk to your
14
   client then.
15
                  MR. ALBALAH: The witness -- the
16
    issue was whether the deal as put forth to the
17
    Court is in the best interest to the estate.
                                                  Ι
18
   believe that from the Buyers' perspective, their
19
    case has been put on. To the extent there are any
20
    other bids and we have to compare apples to apples
    and apples to oranges, we reserve all rights to
21
22
    that regard.
23
                  THE COURT: The Debtor and the
24
    Buyer reserve rights to a rebuttal case, so do the
```

```
objectors have any witnesses?
                  MR. TURNER:
2
                                Your Honor, I think
3
   before the objectors would want to put on any
4
   witness, I would like to make a motion for
5
    judgment on the Rule 52(c) and ask the Court to
   deny the motion if that's their case in chief.
6
 7
    There's nobody that knows anything about the run
8
   rate.
                  They're trying -- they're asking
9
   Your Honor to compel us to enter into contracts
10
11
    they don't know the burn rates. They don't know
    the capitalization of the purchaser.
12
                  And if that's -- if Your Honor can
13
    approve a sale on that record, then Your Honor, I
14
    would say there are probably few sales that can't
15
16
    be approved. I don't think the Debtor met its
17
    burden in this case in its case in chief.
                  And therefore, I would move for Your
18
19
    Honor to deny the motion based on the Debtor's
20
    presentation, which was a witness who I believe
21
    didn't really know much of anything.
2.2
                  THE COURT:
                               Okay.
23
                  Mr. Shapiro.
24
                  MR. SHAPIRO: Your Honor, the
```

Debtors respectfully disagree with Mr. Turner. 1 2 The Debtors put on a case as to what is in the best interest of the estate, and whether or not 3 this offer constitutes the highest and best offer 4 in accordance with the sale procedures that we 5 6 have approved by Your Honor a few weeks ago, I 7 believe that Mr. Feuerabendt testified accurately as to the fact that all of the costs will be borne 8 by the buyer, as set forth in Section 3.1, until 10 such time that the FCC says that they don't have 11 to. And therefore, it is irrelevant what 12 13 the burn rate is because they're picking up all the costs until that time is over. 1.4 And the only 15 question that I see that is legitimate here is 16 what would happen if and when \$30 million ever ran 17 out, and maybe that's a question that we can get 18 the Buyers to answer so that we clear this record, 19 and so that everyone gets comfortable since 20 everyone is being promised that they will be 21 prepared on a weekly basis by the Buyer. 22 MR. TURNER: Your Honor, I think 23 the Feuerabendt testimony of what was going to be 24 done was simply reading from the agreement, but

2.0

there has to be -- you have to be able to back it

up. It's not just about what's in the best

interest for the estate, meaning the lenders, the

utilities, and the landlord, and the creditors,

and the administrative claimants. They're part of

the estate, too.

And there's a bunch of people

And there's a bunch of people telling you it's not in the best interest of the estate as far as their constituencies are concerned. He didn't know anything about the purchaser, anything about the purchasers capitalization, didn't know when the money would run out, didn't know what the historical burn rate was, couldn't say and said he's not saying anything about the accuracy of the burn rate.

They're asking Your Honor to compel us to enter the contracts. Aside from the legal issues violating 356 to forget cure and compel us to enter into new contracts with an entity, that there's no evidence could even comply, assuming that it was even legally something that the Court could do.

The Buyer has been obligated to pay cures not -- Your Honor, under these -- under this

```
1
   management agreement and this order, we have to
 2
    enter into new contracts with them, no cure,
    nothing. And we're injoined from terminating,
 3
    even though he testified that they're supposed to
 5
    prepay us, if we don't prepay, we can't terminate.
 6
                  THE COURT:
                               Well, I'm not talking
 7
    about the prepayment or the going forward
 8
    obligations. I'm talking about the --
 9
                  MR. TURNER:
                                If the buyer assumes a
10
    contract, Your Honor, the agreement provides sure
11
    they'll pay that as an assumed liability, but the
12
    way they've teed it up and Buyer's counsel has
13
    already told us he's not intending to assume more
14
    contracts nor should he because they're compelling
15
    us to provide services going forward without a
16
    cure.
17
                  THE COURT:
                               So what you understand
18
    the document to say is that if there is an
19
    assumption that cure will be met by this trial,
20
    which is what?
21
                  MR. TURNER:
                                But there's nothing in
22
    the order.
                The purchaser would probably be
23
    ridiculous to assume the contracts because they
24
   have provisions ordering us to enter into the new
```

```
contracts with them and not allowing us to charge
2
   them for the arrearages because there's no
3
   assumption.
                             You're mixing a lot of
                  THE COURT:
4
5
    issues going back and forth.
                                  I'm trying to
    address your issue, as I read the agreement, they
6
7
   are obligated to pay cure.
8
                  MR. TURNER: That is right.
    Illusory what the contract says, utilities, you
9
10
   have to enter into contracts with us. Not we have
    to agree to an assumption, we have to enter into
11
   new contracts with them.
12
                  And I don't think --
13
                  THE COURT:
                               Is that the Debtors'
14
    understanding of the document?
15
                  MR. SHAPIRO: Again, let me outline
16
17
    again so that everyone is clear. The documents
18
    provide that there is 128-day period in which the
19
    Buyer has a right to choose whether it assumes or
20
    rejects the contracts.
                               The same time it seeks
21
                  THE COURT:
22
    the necessary approval of --
23
                  MR. SHAPIRO: Correct. Well, while
24
    it is assuming FCC and FCC regular remember by its
```

```
way its buyer has given out any out here is if
1
2
   they pay the purchase right up front and they
   don't get those regulatory approvals, they end up
3
   owning the assets and this deal has been done.
4
   There's no recourse back to the purchase price.
5
                  That is an aside. In the event they
 6
    didn't assume a contract, obviously, they don't
 7
 8
    won't way a cure in the eight him. They are
 9
    required to pay a cure and put assurance of future
    performance at a hearing before Your Honor in
10
    order to allow for a hearing of the cure.
11
                  Now, what Mr. Gwynne is referring to
12
    is the fact that the Buyer has the right as they
13
    have under applicable law today to applicable
14
15
    tariffs to seek out new contracts which they have
    the right to do as any other carrier would have
16
    the right to do from each of these carriers that
17
18
    are required by law to provide this tariff.
                  And the only thing that the order
19
20
    would do is in the event that they end up entering
21
    into a new contract with the buyer, with let's
22
    take, WorldCom.
                               Mr. Gwynne, is that the
23
                  THE COURT:
    order mandating that the --
24
```

```
1
                  MR. SHAPIRO:
                                 There's no
2
   obligation, nothing that allows them outside the
    law to enter those contracts outside of tariffs.
 3
 4
   What it requires is that Mr. Gwynne's client
   cannot interfere with its services, cannot exact
   nonrecurring charges for merely, you know, letting
 6
 7
   the customers continue under a brand new contract
 8
    that IDT has negotiated.
                  That is all this order does.
 9
                                 There is no need for
10
                  MR. ALBALAH:
11
    anyone to get excited. I think there was a slight
12
   misstatement unintentionally.
13
                  The language of the proposed order
14
    does say that the carrier has to "enter into
15
    comparable common carrier service agreements".
                  That's on Page 18, Paragraph 20.
16
17
    Those who are requested by the Debtor of the buyer
18
    to enter into comparable common carrier service
19
    agreements to permit the Debtors to permit the
20
    buyer to provision the same service are directed
21
    to cooperate in a commercially reasonable manner
22
    with the buyer to establish such service
23
    agreements and arrangement.
24
                  MR. GWYNNE:
                                  It's not just saying
```

```
we have to negotiate.
                  First of all, Your Honor, I mean
 2
 3
   does the Court have authority to compel us to
   negotiate an agreement? Where is that in
 4
 5
   Section 365.
                  THE COURT:
                               I don't think the
 6
   agreement says that, and I don't think I would
 7
   have the authority to do that.
 8
                  But the way I review it, I don't
 9
10
    think it's a mandate, so I'm in a different
   place. And as I understand it the buyer doesn't
11
12
   believe that.
13
                  MR. ALBALAH:
                                 The buyer does not --
14
    I misspoke before and I apologize for that.
                                                  The
15
    Debtor is -- at the end of the sentence, simply
16
    that the carriers have to cooperate in a
17
    commercially reasonable manner, but substantively
    I do believe the order does in effect continue
18
19
    Your Honor's order, which requires the carriers to
20
    provide services going forward provided that Buyer
21
    pays in advance.
                  THE COURT:
                               I understand that part,
22
23
    but that's a different issue, again, than what
24
    Mr. Gwynne is talking about. The order that I
```

```
would enter if I approve this sale would require
7
2
   these carriers to continue to provide the service,
   but for new service contracts there's no mandate
3
   by my order that they have to enter into one.
4
5
                  MR. ALBALAH:
                                 First, that is
6
    consistent with the Buyers' understanding.
7
                  MR. GWYNNE:
                                Your Honor, that's
    changed in the 24 hours, so I am glad to hear
 8
9
    that.
10
                  But with respect to the management
    agreement and the continuation of services and all
11
12
    ordering us to continue to do that, that's a
    separate issue. And I guess if we get into
13
14
    objections in the legal arguments, I'll address
15
    that.
16
                  But, I mean, based on the testimony
    that was presented, I would ask Your Honor how can
17
18
    you make a finding as to this purchaser's ability
19
    to comply with the agreement? How can you make a
    finding as to this purchaser's ability to pay the
20
    carriers' charges going forward beyond the couple
21
22
    months.
23
                  THE COURT:
                               Well, all of that would
24
    go to the weight of the testimony. They've put on
```

```
their case.
1
                  And at this juncture, there's been
2
3
   no opposite case put on. So I would deny the
 4
   application saying that it goes to weight.
                  And then I'll judge the Blackstone
5
    opinion with any other evidence that contributes.
 6
 7
                  MR. GWYNNE:
                                Thank you.
                  MR. GARBER:
                                Good afternoon, Your
 8
    Honor. Aaron Garber for Cisco System Capital
 9
10
    Investment. Our issue is with respect to the
   procedures that are happening today are slightly
11
12
    different.
13
                  THE COURT:
                               Well, hold on.
                                                Before
14
    we get to that, we have to have a procedure here.
15
    The point we're at now is does anyone in
16
    opposition to the sale motion have a witness that
17
    they want to put on?
18
                  MR. GARBER: We don't, Your Honor.
19
                  THE COURT:
                               Okay.
20
                  MR. TURNER:
                                Andrew Turner,
    Williams Communications. We call
21
22
    Mr. Gary Morgan.
2.3
                  THE COURT: Could I ask you to swear
24
    in the witness?
```

```
1
                  THE COURT: Could I ask you to
    swear in the witness?
 2
 3
                  THE REPORTER:
                                   Yes.
 4
                          GARY MORGAN,
 5
                  the deponent herein, having first
                  been duly sworn on oath, was
 6
 7
                  examined and testified as follows:
 8
    BY MR. TURNER;.
            Would you state your full name for the
 9
10
    record and tell us whether you're employed and in
11
    what capacity?
12
        Α.
             Yes. My name is Gary Morgan,
    M-O-R-G-A-N. I am with Williams Communications
13
14
    Group. I'm the director of finance and
    administration with oversight for credit
15
16
    responsibilities.
17
        Ο.
             Okay. In that position are you familiar
    with the Williams' account for Winstar
18
19
    Communications?
20
             Yes, sir, I am.
        Α.
21
             Can you tell me: Are you familiar with
22
    what's happened with that contract during the
23
    bankruptcy case?
24
             Yes, sir, I am.
        Α.
```

Q. Tell us about what transpired in August of this year with respect to that account.

A. We operate under an interim agreement that we negotiated with Winstar during the Chapter 11 as a post-petition provider of service and within that agreement, obviously, we expected to be paid. That was approved by the Court.

And it allowed us a five-day termination notice if we weren't being paid for services.

Q. Okay.

A. During the course of the summer, we were not being paid for services. The customer was in default. We made every effort to work with them to get the account brought current.

We're \$21 million in arrears now, so I think that shows you our commitment to try to make this work and work with them. But they continued to default.

Under obligations they would not pay us and it forced us in November to send a termination notice to terminate services for nonpayment.

Q. Okay. That interim agreement, was that

1 the subject of the Court's ruling approving that
2 agreement on August 27th?

- A. That is correct.
- Q. What kind of services does Williams provide to Winstar?
- 6 A. Basically advice and data service.
- Q. Are the services that Williams provides Winstar wholesale?
- 9 A. Yes.

- Q. Are those services themselves subject, to your understanding, to the federal, you know,
- 12 | Communications Act?
- 13 A. Not to my understanding.
- Q. Now, what was the payment arrangement?

  Has Winstar made all the payments due Williams in

  September 2001?
- 17 A. No, sir, they have not.
- Q. Does Winstar owe any money for October
- 19 2001?
- 20 A. Yes, sir, they do.
- 21 0. And how much?
- A. The aggregate is about -- for September,

  October is about 3.9, and we have a burn rate of a

  little over a million a week.

```
So we have November and December
1
2
    billing, so approximately, seven million for what
3
    we call off-net services. And then,
    approximately, 14 million for on-net services.
4
5
             And under the interim agreement, on-net
    services were accrued and added to the DIP money;
 6
 7
    right?
 8
        Α.
             That is correct, sir.
             So you were only being paid for off-net
 9
        Ο.
    service?
10
11
        Α.
             That is correct.
             Tell the Court what is an off-net service
12
        0.
13
    as opposed to an on-net service?
             Well, that's basically where the service
14
        Α.
15
    is not provided on our network, so therefore we
    have to engage other carriers and other service
16
17
    providers to provide that service. On our behalf
18
    an it becomes a pass through billing type is he.
19
             Has Williams paid the other carriers or
        Q.
20
    is it obligated to pay the other carriers for
21
    those off-net services?
22
        Α.
             To my knowledge.
23
             So the $3.9 million that's due for
    October, is that for on or off-net service?
24
```

1 A. That is for off-net service.

- Q. And Williams is obligated in turn to pay that \$3.9 million to other carriers?
- A. That is correct. It's out-of-pocket service.
- Q. What is the off-net bill, approximately, for November?
- A. Well, the actual bill has not been sent there, but with our burn rates, I can estimate that it will be, you know, approximately a little over 2.1 million.
- Q. On-net service, those are services provided directly by Williams?
- 14 A. That's correct.
- Q. What's the on-net amount owed by Winstar to Williams for November?
- A. For November, again, I am estimating it
  was -- through October it was 10.4 million. And
  then beyond that service, again, for November,
  since I haven't actually been billed is, roughly,
  an estimate, it's about 600, 630,000 a week.
  - O. Per week?
- 23 A. Yes.

22

Q. Now, those are based on the Debtors'

```
actual run rates during the course of this
1
2
    Chapter 11?
             That is correct.
3
        Α.
             You've got information available to you
4
    that indicates that?
5
        Α.
             That's correct.
 6
             So for off-net services, for last week,
 7
        0.
 8
    let's say, --
             Mm-hmm.
 9
        Α.
             -- what does Winstar now owe the Debtor,
10
        0.
11
    Winstar, now owe Williams?
             Roughly about 21 million.
12
        Α.
13
        Q.
             Sorry?
14
             Roughly, 21 million.
        Α.
             Just for last week's service only?
15
        Q.
16
             Last week, probably about 1.2, 1.1, 1.2.
        Α.
17
             Million dollars?
        Q.
18
        Α.
             Yes, sir.
19
             Have you been contacted by any
        Q.
    representative of the IDT or the proposed special
20
21
    purpose vehicle they're going to form to purchase
22
    this entity?
23
        Α.
             No, I have not.
24
             Has anyone made an indication to you as
        Q.
```

8.5

to when you can expect to receive any kind of 1 payment in advance or prepayment for services? No, sir, they have not. 3 Α. So if a deal closes tomorrow and you're Q. 4 5 supposed to be prepaid, when are you looking for a 6 check? 7 I would hope tomorrow. I hoped before. Α. Do you have any idea how much they're 8 Q. going to pay you tomorrow --9 10 I am sorry. I do not. Α. Q. -- if the sale closes? 11 12 MR. TURNER: That's all I've got of 13 Mr. Morgan. THE COURT: Let's see if any of the 14 15 other objectors wish to examine this witness.? 16 All right. Mr. Shapiro. 17 MR. SHAPIRO: I just have a few 18 questions, Your Honor. BY MR. SHAPIRO: 19 20 The on-net services that you spoke of, Q. can you explain to the Court how those are treated 21 under the documentation? 22 23 Under the interim agreement? Α.

Under any of the documentation that

24

Q.

1 you've entered into with Winstar.

A. Yes, sir. We are providing those on-net services and they are what we categorize as a deferred AR, which becomes a part of the DIP.

- Q. So those are being -- I don't want to put words in your mouth -- those are being put into the DIP loan and accrued in accordance with the documentation for the DIP loans; correct?
- 9 A. That's correct.

5

6

7

8

- Q. So you are paripisu with all the DIP
  lenders at this point with respect to anything
  that you've accrued with respect to those
  services; is that your understanding?
- 14 A. On the on net, yes, sir.
- 15 Q. On the on net?
- 16 A. That's correct.
- Q. And is it your belief that the DIP
  lenders will do better through a sale or worse
  through a sale, or better through a liquidation?
- 20 A. I don't think I have enough information 21 to determine that.
  - Q. Okay. That's fair.
- With respect to your \$7 million of 24 off-net services, what kind of claim is that?

- 1 A. It's a minimisu (phonetic).
- Q. And based on the values that you've been hearing about with respect to this case, which I know are obviously not nearly as high as people would like, what do you expect the treatment of that claim to be in a liquidation hearing?
  - A. Again, I don't think I have enough information to make that --
    - Q. Well, generally.
- 10 A. -- observation.

7

9

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. What would you think it would likely be?
- 12 A. I would think it would be pretty poor.
  - Q. And is it your understanding that the buyers are proposing to prepay weekly for the services that you would be asked to provide?
  - A. I have seen no documentation of such, but that is my understanding from just what I've overheard today.
    - Q. Assuming that what you overheard today was in a contract signed by the buyer by Mr. Feuerabendt, and assuming that contract is signed on the closing date, is that type of prepayment arrangement something that your company has done in the past with either Winstar or other

8.8

```
1
    carriers?
2
             With other carriers, correct.
        Α.
        Ο.
             So that's not something that's unusual in
 3
    this circumstance, so it would be fairly regular
 4
 5
    to ask someone who you might not have gotten
    credit approval to prepay for services?
 6
 7
             That's correct, sir.
        Α.
                  MR. SHAPIRO:
                                 That's all I have,
 8
 9
    Your Honor.
                  THE COURT:
                               Any other questions?
10
    Counsel for the buyer?
11
12
                  MR. ALBALAH:
                                 Not at this time,
13
    Your Honor.
14
                  THE COURT:
                               Let me ask you a
15
    question so I understand.
                               Before Winstar filed
16
    for bankruptcy, you had a contract with them?
17
                  THE WITNESS:
                                  That's correct, sir.
                  THE COURT:
                               And how long had that
18
    contract been existing?
19
                                  I don't recall the
20
                  THE WITNESS:
    actual date when we signed our initial contract
21
22
    with them. Some were in the neighborhood of a
    couple of years, I believe two or three years.
23
```

And when they filed

THE COURT:

8.9

```
their petition, were you owed any money?
1
                  THE WITNESS:
                                 Yes, sir, we were.
 2
 3
                  THE COURT:
                               How much were you
    owed?
 4
                                  The last claim I
 5
                  THE WITNESS:
    remember was in the tune of around 28 million
 6
    bucks, 28 million.
                               And you haven't gotten
 8
                  THE COURT:
 9
    any of that?
10
                  THE WITNESS:
                                 No, sir, we haven't.
                               And then they came in
11
                  THE COURT:
    to Chapter 11 and you agreed with them to provide
12
    new service under a stipulation?
13
                  THE WITNESS:
                                  That's correct.
14
15
    Under an interim agreement.
16
                  THE COURT: And what was your
17
    understanding of how that worked?
18
                  THE WITNESS:
                                  That we would --
    obviously, to continue to provide the services
19
20
    that they needed to continue the operation.
21
    wanted to try and help and be a DIP lender, not a
22
    DIP lender, I'm sorry, correct that. To provide
    services for them.
23
24
                  We did that under the stipulation
```

```
1
   that we would be paid. But if we were not,
 2
    assuming this kind of situation would occur, that
 3
    they -- we would be allowed to terminate within
 4
    five days. That was our protection.
 5
                  And the fact that we aren't being
    allowed to terminate is what's creating financial
 6
 7
    hardship to us, sir.
                  THE COURT: Now; did you get any
 8
 9
    money under that stipulation?
10
                  THE WITNESS: Yes.
                                       Under the
11
    interim agreement, we did get some payments, yes,
12
    sir.
                  THE COURT: Do you know how much
13
14
    that was?
15
                                 No, I do not, not in
                  THE WITNESS:
1.6
    aggregate.
17
                  THE COURT:
                               But since you entered
    into that stipulation and your motivation was you
18
19
    thought, what, that it was -- what was your
20
    motivation under the stipulation?
21
                  THE WITNESS:
                                 That we wanted to
22
    be -- that we would continue to be a service
    provider and help Winstar through their
23
24
    difficulties. And again, we assumed that payment
```

```
1
   would be made and they would have the opportunity
2
   to terminate the arrangement if they did not.
                  THE COURT: And since then, you
3
    lost $21 million?
 4
                  THE WITNESS:
                                 21 million is our
5
 6
    overall aggregate exposure. 14 is -- roughly 14
 7
    would be in the on-net deferral amount that
    Mr. Shapiro referred to and would be paripisu with
 8
 9
    the DIP lenders.
                  Around seven million right now is
10
    the amount that we are owed under the interim
1.1
    agreement that we have paid out-of-pocket costs
12
    for.
13
                  THE COURT: Could you repeat that
14
    because I want to make sure I understand it.
15
16
    entered into the stipulation?
                  THE WITNESS:
17
                                Mm-hmm.
18
                  THE COURT: And you started
19
    charging Winstar?
20
                  THE WITNESS:
                                 Mm-hmm.
                  THE COURT: And how much did you --
21
22
    let's just make it simple.
23
                  THE WITNESS: Again, sir, I don't
24
    know the aggregate amount that has been billed.
```

```
1
    All I can tell you today is I could provide that,
 2
    but I don't have that today. But what is due and
 3
    owing from the amounts billed, I can tell you
 4
    today, and that's seven million of the off-net
    services that were provided by another carrier,
 5
 6
    which under the agreement they would agree to
 7
    pay.
 8
                  So we would not be out of pocket out
 9
    any cost.
               It was a pass-through-type cost
10
    situation.
11
                  THE COURT:
                                So the seven million is
12
    what you're out of pocket that you have to pay
13
    other people?
14
                  THE WITNESS:
                                  That's correct.
15
                  THE COURT:
                               And is that part of the
16
    21 million?
17
                  THE WITNESS:
                                  That's correct, sir.
18
                  THE COURT:
                              So that leaves 14
19
    million you were talking about?
20
                  THE WITNESS:
                                 21 as the on-net
21
    amount, correct.
22
                  THE COURT:
                               Now, if you were
23
    permitted to shut the service down to Winstar
24
    today, your actual loss would be $7 million?
```

```
Yes, sir. That's the
1
                  THE WITNESS:
2
   exposure.
                  THE COURT:
                               Now, when you were
3
   billing Winstar, was there any profit in what you
4
5
   billed them?
                  THE WITNESS:
                                 I don't -- I don't
6
   see all the margins on specific sales, so I
7
   wouldn't know all the margins. Some of the costs
    were passed through I know with some of the off
 9
10
   net for each of the particular contracts and
    agreement that we -- and price, I'm not aware of
11
12
    what the margins would be.
                  THE COURT: Well, you know, I
13
   understand, but is there any profit in there?
14
                  THE WITNESS: I don't know if I
15
16
    could answer that honestly today, sir.
                  THE COURT: You don't know if your
17
18
    business makes any profit?
19
                  THE WITNESS:
                                 Well, the
20
    arrangements to pass through some of the off-net
21
    costs, I believe that was just a straight pass
    through. Obviously, on the on net is where --
22
23
                  THE COURT: Right. That's the part
24
    I was wondering about.
```

```
1
                  THE WITNESS:
                                 Yes, sir. Yes, sir.
 2
                  THE COURT:
                               Is there any profit,
 3
    that part?
 4
                  THE WITNESS:
                                 Yes, sir.
                                             I would
 5
    think so, yes.
 6
                  THE COURT:
                               What would be the
 7
   margins typically in your industry that you would
    look for?
 8
.9
                  THE WITNESS: Well, it varies all
10
    over the board because if they're volume
11
   purchasers, it could very -- it could be very
12
    incremental. And if it's not, it could be much
13
    higher.
14
                  And I just don't think I could
15
    speculate today.
16
                  THE COURT: I don't have any idea.
17
    I'm trying to get an idea.
18
                  Is it like two bedrooms or is it
19
    like percent, or is it like -- I had a case of
20
    compact discs, they make 75 percent?
21
                  THE WITNESS: No, nothing like
22
   that.
23
                  THE COURT: Well, I know.
24
                  THE WITNESS:
                                 I wish.
```

```
I'm trying to find out
1
                  THE COURT:
   where that range is.
                  THE WITNESS: I think in this
3
   business -- I think in this business right now the
4
5
   margins are fairly slim, so I, again --
                  THE COURT: So it's, like, less
6
   than double digits?
 7
                  THE WITNESS: I would assume so,
 8
9
   but I couldn't go on record as saying that's the
    case. I'm just not familiar with our margin side.
10
                  THE COURT: If you had a profit and
11
    you stayed in business with them, and you went
12
    forward, would that part of that profit help cut
13
14
    your losses?
                  THE WITNESS:
                                 Well, obviously,
15
    yes. If it was a relationship where we were being
16
    paid, yes, sir.
17
                  THE COURT: But you don't have any
18
    idea what that ratio is that helps you out to get
19
20
    back some of this money and what time it would
21
    take?
                                 Yes, I could -- I
22
                  THE WITNESS:
23
    could not tell you that. Obviously, getting paid
24
    is paramount to us because what the financial
```

```
1
   hardship of not being paid has created for us.
                  So I think the concern is if we're
2
3
    not going to be paid, we just absolutely need to
    stop the bleeding. That term stop the bleeding,
    to not go further in the hole than 21 million that
5
6
    we're already down.
 7
                  THE COURT:
                               If you were getting
    paid going forward, and you could cut the service
8
 9
    in two to five days that wouldn't be such a bad
    situation would it?
10
11
                  THE WITNESS:
                                  If we were guaranteed
12
    a payment and quaranteed that we could cut
1.3
    service.
                                That would be --
14
                  THE COURT:
                  THE WITNESS:
15
                                  Because one of the --
                  THE COURT:
16
                                That would be okay?
17
    Well, I mean, the main thing is getting payment.
18
    Then if you don't get it, being able to cut
19
    service?
20
                  THE WITNESS:
                                  Absolutely.
21
                  THE COURT:
                               Now, when you entered
    into the last agreement, that didn't happen.
22
23
    There's a lot of people in this bankruptcy that
24
    aren't going to get paid just like you, but going
```

```
forward, getting the money and being able to cut
1
   services is what's important to you?
2
                  THE WITNESS:
                                 Absolutely.
3
                                               And
   knowing that there's going to be money there and
4
5
   because, basically, if we end up in this position
    if I don't get paid, what's my recourse back
 6
    against the IDT shell company to ensure that I get
 7
           That's what I would look at, how am I going
 8
   paid.
    to get my money if they call me and say you're not
 9
10
   getting paid today.
                  THE COURT:
                               Now, if the buyer here
11
    was supposed to pay at the beginning of the week,
12
    and you didn't get that check, and you could shut
13
    the service off in 48 hours, would that be a kind
14
    of an arrangement that would be okay?
15
16
                  THE WITNESS:
                                  The only issue, I
    guess, that would be there, and I'm not an expert
17
    in regulatory issues, would we be able to cut off
18
19
    that service. That need seems to be one of the
    issues that's on.
20
21
                  THE COURT:
                               Right.
                                        That would be
22
    30 days?
23
                                  Right.
                  THE WITNESS:
24
                  THE COURT:
                               But if the court would
```

```
1
    let you cut it off in a couple of days, that would
   be okay?
 3
                  THE WITNESS:
                                 Yes.
                                       If I could
    limit my exposure to two days, that would be very
 5
    attractive, yes.
 6
                  THE COURT:
                               Okay. Anyone else have
 7
    any questions?
 8
                  MR. KAROTKIN:
                                  Stephen Karotkin
    from Weil, Gotshal & Manges for the DIP lenders.
 9
    BY MR. KAROTKIN:
10
11
        0.
             I believe you indicated that Williams has
    provided -- currently provides both on-net service
12
    and off-net service to Winstar?
13
```

- A. That is correct, sir.
- Q. And in connection with -- let's focus on the on-net service?
- 17 A. Yes, sir.

- Q. Do you know the cost of Williams to providing on-net services?
- 20 A. No, sir, I do not.
- 21 Q. Do you know if there's over capacity?
- A. Well, we have a 33,000 mile lit network,
- 23 | so we have capacity. Yes, sir.
- Q. So if you didn't sell it to Winstar, it

wouldn't be utilized; correct? 1 2 Yes. I would assume that's correct. 3 MR. KAROTKIN: No further questions. 4 Any other what we could 5 THE COURT: characterize as cross-examination? Then we will 6 7 go to redirect. BY MR. TURNER: 8 9 Q. Now, you're obligated to provide certain 10 specific fibers to Winstar in case they want to send capacity over that, aren't you? 11 12 Yes, that's correct. Α. 13 Q. Could you tell us on every route, do you have excess capacity on every route? 14 15 Α. No, sir, I can't. 16 ο. Are there some routes where you're at 17 capacity? 18 Α. It's a possibility. I wouldn't have 19 knowledge. 20 You're not the capacity load guy? Q.

- 21 A. I'm not the capacity guy.
- Q. Do you know whether the FCC has contacted any of your officers at Williams Communications to indicate that you were not allowed to cut off on

your five-day notice that you were provided under this Court's order of August 22nd?

A. Yes, sir, they have.

3

4

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- Q. Who did they contact?
- A. They contacted our outside counsel,
  yourself, sir, and also Bob McCoy, our in-house
  general counsel.
- Q. Okay. Is Mr. McCoy a senior officer of the company?
- 10 A. Yes, sir, he is, as of November 21st.
  - Q. So do you have any fear if you were about to go forward with the arrangement proposed here, if you had the opportunity to terminate based on nonpayment, do you know whether, in fact, you -- the government would sit idly by and let you terminate, or would you expect, based on the past history, that they'd come in and say you have to provide service for yet another 21 days or even longer?
- A. Based on what was learned to us, the latter.
- THE COURT: The FCC has been around this case a little built. What was that message they gave to your senior people? How much time